

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,996	12/09/2003	Roger A. Benham	A-8849	2796	
75	590 05/17/2006		EXAM	INER	
Jean A. Buttmi, Esq.			BELL, BRUCE F		
HOFFMAN, WASSON & GITLER, PC Suite 522 ART UNIT P				PAPER NUMBER	
2361 Jefferson			1746	1746 DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11_/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,996	BENHAM, ROGER A.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bruce F. Bell	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	:
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. : a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	е
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/729,996 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-10 and 12 are vague and indefinite with respect to the "improved" cathodic protection system. Claim 1 does not recited that the cathodic protection system is improved and therefore, it is unclear as to what the improvement is, since Jebson claims typically recite what is known and only the improvement is claimed. Since claim 1 does not recite the improvement or set forth what the improvement is, it would appear that the instant claims 2-10 and 12 are improper, since they depend on claim 1 which is not claiming the invention as being improved. Correction and/or clarification is requested.

Claim 2 is further vague and indefinite for the phrase "or the like". It is unclear as to what the structure of "or the like" is from the instant claim as set forth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A,person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1746

4. Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pultan et al (5415745).

Pultan et al discloses a method of providing cathodic protection to underground metallic structures which comprises creating an excavation spaced from the underground metallic structure and placing in the excavation a hollow casing having a perforate section, the hollow casing being adapted to hold therein a galvanic anode. Electrical connection between the galvanic anode and the underground metallic structure and placing the galvanic anode in the hollow casing and then filling the excavation and the hollow casing with a filler material is taught. See abstract. The galvanic anode along with a filler material is disposed in a hollow casing which has a perforate section and which is capped by a cap means on its upper portion. The method comprises removing the cap means, electrically disconnecting the galvanic anode from the terminal board and employing a vacuum extraction means to vacuum extract the galvanic anode and the filler material from the interior of the casing. The method further comprises placing in the hollow casing a second galvanic anode which has attached thereto a conductor, filling the hollow casing with a filler material and establishing an electrical connection between the galvanic anode and the underground metallic structure by means of connecting the conductor to the terminal board and recapping the hollow casing with the cap means. See col. 3, lines 14-32. The hollow casing is placed in a small hole excavation which is spaced from an underground metallic structure. The hollow casing is buried entirely beneath the

Application/Control Number: 10/729,996 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

ground level, so that only the cap means is visible on the ground. See col. 4, lines 12-18. After the hollow casing is placed in the excavation, air pressure is provided by reversing the vacuum extraction means to blow out air. The hose of the vacuum extracting means is placed into the casing and the air pressure blows out foreign particles through the holes in the perforated section. The galvanic anode is then lowered into the casing and is held in place while a prepared chemical backfill is filled in the casing and in the annulus between the casing and excavation. A preferred chemical backfill consists of gypsum, bentonite clay and sodium sulfate. See col. 6, lines 26-47. The vacuum extraction means can be used to insure good soil to filler material continuity, which is accomplished by pressurizing the filler material once it is placed in the casing. See col. 7, lines 21-24.

The prior art of Pultan et al anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth above. The filler material in Pultan et al is considered to be the same as the backfill material of the instant invention. Pultan et al shows that the container is filled with the filler material and then is extracted out of the container after having been placed in the excavation through the holes in the hollow casing. Therefore, the prior art of Pultan et al anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth in the instant claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/729,996 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3, 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Doniguian (6224742).

Doniguian discloses a cathodic protection system for protecting buried conducting structures, subject to corrosion such as well casings and pipelines. Figure 4 shows a bridge rectifier wherein the negative side of the rectifier is connected to the pipeline and the positive side is connected to the anode unit through a trigger circuit. The trigger circuit is used in conjunction with a capacitor so that the rectifiers of the trigger circuit are triggered to conduct alternately and the capacitor value is picked to set the pulse width of the output pulses supplied to the load. See col. 5, lines 29-52.

The prior art of Doniguian anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth above. The trigger circuit with capacitor is considered to be the anti-cross connection means since it prevents the continuing flow of electrical current based upon the value of the capacitor used, which controls the pulse width of output pulses supplied to the load. Further the rectifiers themselves will act as a diode device. Therefore, the prior art of Doniguian anticipates the applicants instant invention as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1746

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 4-8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doniguian (6224742) in combination with Carpenter et al (5139634) and Kosoegawa et al (3959664).

Doniguian is as disclosed above in the 35 USC 102 rejection.

Doniguian does not disclose the use of a fuse or light or plug in power supply.

Carpenter et al disclose the use of a fuse in the circuitry of a dual bed cathodic protection system. The control circuit includes a volt meter, an amp meter, a plurality of connector terminal blocks, an amperage adjustment member, an interrupt switch and a fuse container member. The fuse member is operable in a conventional manner to prevent overload conditions to the electrical control circuits, due to malfunction of the power supply.

Kosoegawa et al discloses an anticorrosive circuit having a diode connection interconnected between a buried structure ti be protected and a galvanic anode so that even when the difference in potential between them is relatively low, an effective forward or anticorrosion current may flow while positively preventing the reverse current which causes the corrosion of the buried structure. See abstract. The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made because even though the prior art of Doniguian does not disclose a fuse, light or plug in power supply, the prior art of Carpenter et al discloses that the use of fuses in cathodic

Art Unit: 1746

protection systems is known for the purpose of preventing overload conditions to the electrical control circuits and the prior art of Kosoegawa et al discloses that it is known in the art to use diode connection interconnected between a buried structure to be protected and a galvanic anode so as to flow an anticorrosive current but prevent a reverse current which causes corrosion of the buried structure. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to used these features in the prior art of Doniguian to safeguard the cathodic protection circuit. The use of plug-in power supplies is known to be used in many applications where AC power can not be obtained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce F. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-1296.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/729,996

Art Unit: 1746

BFB

May 15, 2006

Bruce F. Bell Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

Page 8